Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/063,830	GOLDMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
,	Michael I Poe	1732
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) <u>Michael I Poe (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Pilarski (Applicant's attorney)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 September 2004	Time: <u>12:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-20		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	, 	
Miland Poe		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	inature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called the applicant's attorney to discuss the election filed on July 6, 2004. The examiner informed the applicant's attorney that the election was still non-responsive because the applicant failed to elect a species from both species A and species B. In order to fully respond to the outstanding restriction requirement, the applicant's attorney further elected species B1 during the telephone interview. It was further agreed that claims 1-7, 11 and 14 were generic to both species A1 and species B1; claims 8 and 15 are readable on species A1; and claim 12 is readable on species B1. As such, it was agreed that claims 1-8, 11, 12, 14 and 15 would be examined for the purpose of the first Office action on the merits.